

# Identity

Magazine of the **British National Party**

January 2006 - Issue 62 - £1.95

On Trial ...



"In a time of universal deceit,  
telling the truth is a revolutionary act."

- George Orwell





# January's News

## » Not Racial Incidents

This month we will give just two incidents of attacks on whites by non-whites, reported on the inside pages of local papers, but emphasised by the police as being non-racially motivated.

A boy of 15 was attacked by a gang of up to 30 youths in Lichfield city centre and left with a broken wrist. Police said all the gang members were believed to have been black but "there was no evidence the attack was racially motivated" despite the victim being white. Yet the police admitted there was no motive to go on because nothing was taken from the teenager. If the colours had been the other way round you can bet that the police would have found a motive: a racial attack!

The other incident involved a "happy slapping" attack on a 30-year-old white man in Accrington that left him with severe facial injuries. He was walking his dog when he was attacked and subjected to "four minutes of hell" by three 'Asian' men, one of whom filmed it on his mobile.

The police said it was not a racial incident and was the first so-called 'happy slapping' attack in Accrington.

## » Prescott's Big Sheds

John Prescott's assault on the countryside with the construction of 4m homes, mainly to house New Labour's new asylum voters, is to be followed by a network of "hyper" warehouses. These giant sheds will handle increasing imports and the growth in demand for internet goods and will be on Greenfield sites alongside motorways and railways. Each will cover the size of the new Wembley Stadium. Under Prescott's plan each site would operate round the clock, generating 1,500 lorry journeys a day.

What happens when our national debt becomes so vast, as exports become ever more dwarfed by mainly non-important imports, that our economy collapses completely?

Perhaps the big sheds themselves will then be used to house even more immigrants as Labour-Tory-Lib Dem thinking says we need more immigrants to pay for our pensions!

## » 'Asian' Chain Attack on Woman

There has been a worrying rise in the number of local newspaper reports (never in the nationals) on late night physical attacks on white women by 'Asian' gangs (seldom, if ever, are they Hindus or Sikhs). It would seem that the attacks are instigated by some religiously inspired objection to the way young women dress on their nights out.

This report from the *Ipswich Advertiser* of December 1<sup>st</sup> is typical.

"A woman is recovering from a nasty town centre attack which saw her struck on the head with a chain. The 25-year-old was walking in Ipswich with three other women and a man when they were approached by a group of five men, who started verbally abusing the woman.

"The gang of men then swung a chain catching the woman on the back of the head and causing cuts to her head and hand. The men got into a red vehicle and drove off. The men were all described as Asian."

## » BNP Spent Lowest Per Vote

According to recent figures released by the Electoral Commission, the Labour Party spent £17.94 million buying votes in Last May's General election, compared to just £112,000 spent by the BNP. The Conservatives were only a whisker behind Labour with a total spend in the election of £17.85 million. The Liberal Democrats spent £4.32m, of which it now turns out £125,000 was illegal because it came from a British tax exile who lives in Spain and parties are barred from receiving cash from donors who permanently live abroad.

For those enemies and a few so-called 'friends' who try to criticise the economic organisation of the BNP,

the spending per vote makes very interesting reading:

Conservative: £2.03. Labour: £1.90. UKIP: £1.07. Lib Dem: 72p. Green Party: 62 p. BNP: 58p.

Hard work from our activists has given the BNP first prize in the best value for money stakes!

## » More Ethnic 'Enrichment'

Parents picking up their children from Saint Augustine of Canterbury RC School in Werneth, Oldham, on December 2<sup>nd</sup> were given an example of the ethnic enrichment that mass immigration has brought us. A gang of 'Asians' (guess who?) estimated to number 100 had gathered outside the school intimidating pupils.

One concerned parent told Derek Adams, a Manchester BNP supporter, that "Asian men were turning up in cars wielding baseball bats and wearing ski hats and balaclavas". The parent, who had witnessed the event, said that the gang beat up two white pupils who had to be rescued from the mob by teachers. He also said that the police were in attendance but did nothing. When he approached the police to ask what they were going to do one police officer replied: "We will follow them and see if they do anything wrong."

If they were whites waving baseball bats and wearing masks it's a fair bet that the police would decide that they were "doing something wrong."

# identity

Editor: John Bean  
January 2006

Public reference:  
ISSN 1469-7971

Subscriptions Dept:  
PO Box 87, Ossett,  
Wakefield, WF5 8WN  
Tel: 0870 75 73 267.

Please note that we receive more material than we can publish. Articles should be submitted to the addresses below in typed/electronic format:  
PO Box 97, Newmarket,  
Suffolk CB8 1WT.  
Email: Identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk.



# Global Intifada Spreads to Oz

» In Lee Barnes' article (see page 24) on the recent Paris riots by young African immigrants, he states that the riots were "the first shots to be fired in the European Intifada as part of the Global Jihad". With comparatively minor riots taking place in Denmark and Belgium, the next stage of the attack upon Western values has been the 'race' riots in Sydney with lesser disturbances in Melbourne, Perth and other Australian cities.

Although there is a difference between the Paris and Sydney riots in that - other than being mainly material victims - few white Frenchmen were involved in confrontation with non-whites, unlike the case in Australia. The common feature is that the Lebanese, with backing from some Pakistani and Afghan immigrants, involved in the Sydney riots are, like the Paris rioters, predominantly Muslim. As editor I repeat that this does not mean that all Muslims are anti-white rioters, and certainly not terrorists, which must also be borne in mind when reading all articles in this magazine relating to the clash between Muslim and Christian European cultures. We recognise that many Muslims now living in Britain (or Australia) are here for an easier life that escapes the extreme strictures imposed upon them in their homelands by many Muslim fundamentalists.

The limp-wristed liberals who dominate our media both in the UK and in Australia have, of course, tried to direct the blame onto the young whites who responded to a history of attacks, including rape of young Aussie women, by Lebanese gangs. Without any evidence whatsoever, Britain's *Daily Mail* said that Aussie supporters of the British National Party were behind the riots! Whether that is viewed as a smear or an honourable mention in despatches is irrelevant: It is a lie.

However, before we look at the reason behind the Sydney riots, let it be said that the real culprits are the politicians of Canberra. This includes the bosses of the big mining companies in Australia, the massive agri-business and the media corporations who all pull the strings. The bottom line is that Lebanese, Iraqis, Indonesians and Bangladeshis will work for lower wages.

## Racist Rapes

The origins of the Sydney violence go back to July 2002, by which time 14 members of a Lebanese gang from South West Sydney had been convicted of gang rape of white Australian girls, some as young as 14. The defence, backed up by the majority of the media, politicians, and pension-serving policemen had stated that there was no racial element in the rapes; some even suggested that the rapists themselves were victims of racial abuse (where have we heard that before?). Yet the victims said that they were referred to by the Lebanese gang as "white sluts" and "Aussie pigs". One girls was told by her rapists: "You deserve it because you're an Australian".

Since then there have been sporadic outbreaks of anti-



white violence in several areas of Sydney. Over the past 20 months gangs of Lebanese youth have made life hell for the local people of the beach suburb of Cronulla, a staunchly Anglo-Celtic area and the scene of the main rioting. There have been scores of knife attacks, women and girls assaulted and a spate of "steaming" where the "brothers", as they call themselves, went rampaging along the beach stealing whatever they can find.

Things came to a head when two lifeguards at the beach were severely beaten up by a Lebanese gang who had been asked to stop playing football as it was disturbing others. Five thousand whites then gathered to chase those Lebanese responsible out of town.

Although most media outlets both here and in Australia did their best to shift the blame on the young white Aussies, there was a significant break-through from the past pattern of reporting in that some newspapers actually reported criminal acts carried out by Lebanese. The *Daily Telegraph*, 13.12.05, stated that the second night of violence "started when young men of mostly Lebanese background clashed with police, throwing stones and other missiles. Witnesses reported several gun shots and one woman was said to have been stabbed."

The report continued: "Police reinforcements were drafted in as thugs attacked cars and shops with baseball bats and threatened to do battle with rival white gangs with guns and crowbars. About 200 young Muslim men gathered outside one of the city's largest mosques, some armed with pistols."

Another report said that bullets were fired at a school where pupils were singing Christmas carols in Sydney's western suburbs.

This text message (*Daily Telegraph* 14.12.05) that was going the rounds in Sydney has a message for all of European descent:

"The Aussies will feel the full force of the Arabs as our brothers in arms unite."

**John Bean**





# The BNP Must Grow Community Roots

» In this New Year message BNP Chairman Nick Griffin defines what the Party must do to increase its momentum, particularly if a rigged jury should mean that in the current Race Law prosecution he and Mark Collett are imprisoned. He also presents the new and damning evidence that the Kilroy scam in the Euro election was designed by Labour to derail the rise of the BNP.

» "The BNP are on the verge of their great breakthrough. The credibility, money and power would propel them into the Big League. We've got to stop them." This was the message that we all know shot through the British Establishment in the weeks before the European Parliamentary Elections in June 2004. The secret opinion polls which are held to measure truly, rather than influence, public opinion, were showing us potentially taking up to 14 per cent of the national vote. The voting public were lining up to kick the Establishment as hard as they possibly could, and more and more of them were thinking about voting BNP as the best way to do it.

We also know that the key actor in the hastily organised Establishment charade to promote the UK Independence Party as a harmless safety valve for that public anger was Michael Howard. It was the Tory leader's sudden high profile attack on UKIP - on account of an opinion poll that he knew perfectly well was bogus 'proving' that they were a 'threat' to the Old Gang - that did more than almost anything else to persuade several million angry Middle Englanders to put their X in the UKIP box rather than in ours.

The other thing, of course, was the decision of staunch Labour supporter Max Clifford to put his publicity talents at the disposal of UKIP, and his



subsequent Robert Kilroy-Silk/Joan Collins minor celebrity roadshow on their behalf. As with the stunt pulled by Michael Howard, we all knew at the time that there was something deeply fishy about the whole thing, but we had no firm evidence of this. All we had to go on was the smell.

The stink got even stronger when, having helped position UKIP to block us in the crucial Euro elections, Kilroy-Silk then did his best to wreck that party in the months that followed, before going off in a high profile huff and seemingly doing his very best to ensure that his subsequent Veritas venture also failed, leaving the maximum amount of demoralisation among its unfortunate activists.

## THE DAMNING EVIDENCE

Last month, however, we finally got the evidence we'd been looking for. The thing that proves that the Clifford/

Kilroy-Silk boosting of UKIP was a rotten New Labour kipper from head to tail.

Just a few weeks ago there was a high level Labour party conference and social do at the Greater London Assembly building. Among those present was our arch opponent Billy Bragg (the passably competent folk singer whose delight in the multiculturalisation of his home town of Barking is such that he has selflessly moved away, thereby giving some other lucky person the chance to enjoy all that enrichment, while he languishes in exile in a lonely clifftop mansion overlooking the sea in hideously white Dorset). No surprise there, of course. Except that Billy was seen chatting happily with Joan Collins, despite the fact that, in the run-up to the European elections, the latter nailed her colours firmly to the 'patriotic' mast in papers such as the *Express*.



Even smellier, Joan and Billy were also hobnobbing with Max Clifford, and with Bill Clinton sidekick 'Slippery' Dick Morris. This despite the fact that Morris was just a couple of weeks away from addressing the faction-ridden Annual General Meeting of UKIP, still posing as a loyal Euro-realist and 'advisor' to UKIP's incredibly accident-prone leadership.

Finally, just as our observer believed that the stink couldn't get any worse, another figure joined the merry little band - none other than Mr. Tangerine Man himself, Robert Kilroy-Silk. There, in glorious technicolour, was the ex-Labour MP who fronted what appeared to be the biggest electoral rebellion against the liberal-left, Europhile Establishment seen to date in Britain. He and his friends were there - all specially invited and clearly especially welcome - at this important Labour party function.

This is the cast-iron proof that the whole Kilroy UKIP adventure was a con-trick. It wasn't aimed at the Conservatives, or the plug would not have been pulled until after last June's General Election, in which a strong UKIP would have cost the Tories perhaps two dozen extra seats. Nor, self-evidently, was it aimed at UKIP, because without the backing of Clifford/Kilroy/Collins/ who had all departed, it wouldn't have won in the first place.

That only leaves one victim - the British National Party. We could smell it before, but now we've actually found the decaying fish!

But, gratification at being proven right aside, where does this leave us now? Well, it proves two things:

First, that we really can win, because without that unprecedented and desperate stunt, we would have made our crucial breakthrough already. And we did that without selling out our core nationalist principles by becoming a 'civic nationalist' abortion like the Scottish Nationalist Party. We did it without throwing to the media hyenas good and loyal people who have already paid for mistakes they made in the past, or who unjustly acquired convictions when acting in self-defence against the far-left mobs which used to dog our every step.

It wasn't supposedly 'hardline' policies, or our personnel or even our image that stopped us (though propaganda on the last point

especially clearly didn't help), it was a clever Establishment con-trick and the fact we lacked the community roots, the organisational depth and the money to overcome it.

Please do not think that I am using the UKIP scam as a reason for BNP self-satisfaction or to assure you that everything will come right next time around. For it will not, at least on its own accord. The kind of trick that worked in 2004 will work again in other elections in the future. In fact it was used again in 2005, stopping us winning several important council by-elections and quite possibly the post of Mayor in Stoke.

### RAISE OUR GAME

If we don't start growing really strong roots in our core communities, if we don't develop all sorts of non-party political pools in which our activists can swim and recruit, if we don't find ways to increase massively the income which will make such ventures possible, then we'll keep on being blocked by stunts like Kilroy's. Or, we can become more intelligent and more imaginative in our operations, and we can raise our game to meet the challenge, in which case the near-miss in 2004 will end up being recorded as the moment when our potential first really scared the Powers That Be.

Second, it shows how cunning and cynical the Establishment can be, just how worried about the BNP 'threat' these people really are, and the way in which the Conservative leadership is every bit as concerned about and hostile to us as the parties of the left.

This is a particularly important point to grasp at a time when their new leader David Cameron has begun a campaign to reposition the Tories on New Labour's multi-cultural, nanny state, free market, heterophobic turf. This final shift away from even the rhetoric of traditional family-value Conservatism will open up a huge amount of political space to the 'right' of the Establishment party bloc.

In the light of what we now know about the cross-party UKIP scam launched to stop us two years ago, and with Cameron's closest advisors including figures such as veteran hostile BNP watcher Michael Gove, it is inconceivable that the people who decided on this shift did not first give serious thought to the danger of a non-

Establishment party moving into the political space left by Cameron's lurch to the left. There are, of course, only two possible beneficiaries - UKIP and the British National Party.

Cameron has already taken one simple but devastating step to keep the occasionally useful but still potentially troublesome UKIP out of the game. By forcing his Tory MEPs to leave the federalist EPP bloc and go independent, he has drastically narrowed the gap between the UK Independence Party and the Conservatives on the former's core issue. UKIP voters and even activists can now get 90% of what they can realistically hope for by switching back to the Tories.

Furthermore, UKIP is already riven with dissent over their MEPs going native in Brussels and climbing on board the Euro-gravy train. With Cameron making a calculated move onto their anti-federalist turf the Euro-sceptic party is in no fit state to fill the gap left by his repositioning in other policy fields.

The British National Party, however, is in a very different position. Not only is Cameron abandoning vast tracts of traditional Conservative space for us to work to make our own, he is simultaneously moving the Tory tanks off the anti-immigration lawn where his predecessors, from Thatcher to Howard, so carefully positioned them slap bang in the middle of our territory. Far from moving to expropriate our core issue, Cameron is giving us the sole rights to it on a golden platter.

What on earth is going on? There are only two possibilities: One, the Boy Wonder and his Notting Hill advisors are snorting so much marching powder that their brains are addled with typical coke-fuelled fantasies of invincibility. Or, two, they have been told by their New Labour counterparts that plans are in hand to 'deal' with the BNP such that we won't be around to go Hoovering up the henceforth homeless votes of Middle England.

It was, after all, David Blunkett who was Home Secretary when a disgusted police officer in Halifax told me that the investigation into the BBC's Secret Agent attack on the BNP was subject to "massive political interference from the very top in London" and that "the aim is to use this case to close your party down."





And it was the same David Blunkett who used his acceptance speech in the Sheffield general election count earlier this year to rant at our local team about how he would "personally see to it that this is the last election that the BNP ever even stands in".

Taken by itself, Blunkett's outburst could have been mere bluster. But linked to what I was told just weeks before in Halifax nick, there are far more sinister overtones to his threat.

One possibility that has been mooted is that the Blair regime has not only already arranged to rig the jury in Mark Collet's and my Race Law prosecution in order to guarantee a guilty verdict, but intends to follow it up with a move to demonise the entire BNP as a 'criminal conspiracy' and to use the new anti-terror legislation to ban it outright.

Possible, but it's unlikely that even New Labour is daft enough to risk creating a political Robin Hood myth of a tiny party of ordinary Brits that became such a threat to a failed and literally criminal regime that it had to be crushed with the full, naked force of the State. Such a blunder would mark out the Establishment as even more incompetent and historically illiterate than we ever dared to hope. It is, unfortunately, far more likely that the plan is to use the opportunity created by jailing us to make the BNP apparently destroy itself.

So rather than police raids, asset seizures and proscription, the New Labour/*Searchlight*/MI5 attempt to kill off the BNP will centre on a little clique of would-be troublemakers - some conscious enemy agents, others just fools or knaves. This group already exists, largely centred on the supposedly 'nationalist' magazine that is sent regularly to known BNP addresses as a more subtle way of spreading black propaganda and disinformation than when precisely the same sneering writing style appears in *Searchlight*. With the Leeds trial now looming ever-closer, this little clique is winding itself into a frenzy, with the latest whacky fantasy being to wait until I'm safely locked up and then use 'heavies' to intimidate our branch organisers into backing a leadership bid by some amiable 'old hand' dupe.

This, so the plan goes, will be launched on the basis that, "we're all loyal to Nick Griffin but not even he can lead the party from a prison cell, and

the constitution doesn't allow for him to appoint a deputy".

As a matter of fact, of course, Lenin and Khomeini led preparations for revolution from Switzerland and Paris, and most successful radical movements throughout history have emerged from repression and prison. But more to the point, while the BNP constitution quite properly does not allow the leader to appoint his successor (a power which rests solely with the membership), it most certainly does allow the properly elected leader to appoint any deputy he deems necessary under the circumstances.

In the circumstance of my being banged up in Armley, both I and my colleagues on the Advisory Council deem it necessary that Simon Darby should immediately take over as Deputy Leader, with complete delegation of power from me on *day-to-day* decisions and personnel matters. Scott McLean will remain Deputy Chairman, there in the failsafe position to be in charge during the leadership election in the hopefully unlikely event of me kicking myself to death in an ugly case of suicide in the prison showers.

Simon Darby is quietly spoken, but he most assuredly is not soft. He has stuck it out in nationalist politics for more than 16 years learning a great deal about handling people and


managing an under-resourced organisation in the process. As West Midlands Regional Organiser after a failed internal takeover bid by a noisy little clique of bullies and thieves, he patiently and skilfully turned a BNP basket case into one of our most powerful regions. For almost three years until recently he had to face the extraordinary stress of the threat of an unjust and vexatious libel action costing him his home - a burden he bore with determination, practical resolve and a great sense of humour.

Simon has an unrivalled gift for perfectly timed soundbites when doing media interviews. And his famous, confident grin that "we're the new kids on the block, and they don't like it" TV appearance was all the more remarkable for the fact that Simon made it while all alone and under serious and immediate threat from a hostile mob of leftist and ethnic minority thugs.

Another marker of his physical and moral courage is that he was - until robbed of his Dudley seat by a boundary manipulation and campaign of deceit in the local press - one of our rare breed of councillors sitting as the only BNP member in the borough.

Add in his flair for propaganda ideas, a level-headed judgement of people and situations that have made him a highly valued colleague of mine

**SIMON DARBY: Safe pair of hands**





for years now, and his cold, controlled anger over the injustices created by the multi-culti, and if the worst comes to the worst you will rapidly learn why I have every confidence in Simon Darby to steer the good ship BNP through the dangers that will arise while I'm away.

It is not, after all, as if I'm going to be totally incommunicado. While most of my Visiting Orders will of course go to my family, there will still be letters, phone calls and some spare visits by which I can be and will be consulted on important issues.

As a result I intend to remain in overall charge unless my sentence is so long that it becomes clear that for practical reasons a new leader needs to have the full authority of the post and a mandate from the membership. In that case I would not hesitate to stand down and recommend the person I regard as the best of the candidates who would no doubt throw their hats in the vacant ring.

Overall, however, previous sentences under this 'law' suggest that, by the time 50% remission comes into play, Mark and I are unlikely to get such long sentences that the above scenario could arise. The contingency plan is there, but it is not likely to be brought into play.

Similarly, while assorted plants, egotists and mice-men on the fringes of the nationalist movement are lining up to try to play games at the BNP's expense while the cat is away, the tremendous sense of unity and common purpose displayed at the first annual conference in November indicates that their self-serving siren calls towards either a 'hardline' or a 'respectable' Utopia will go unheeded.

There are some other dangers for the unwary. In Ulster, for example, the security services developed some neat dirty tricks using text messages and emails from cloned mobile numbers and email addresses to sow division in target organisations.

If those receiving such fakes do not have the sense to call, or better still meet, the colleague who appears to be having a go at them, the resulting hostility can then be wound up further by tittle-tattle spread by a small group of agents provocateur, and by simple tricks such as giving both sides of the growing quarrel silent late-night phone calls which they naively blame on each other.

By such utterly standard and

childishly easy dirty tricks former colleagues turned into bitter internal enemies - but to be forewarned is to be forearmed. Yes, of course no one is perfect; yes, of course people make mistakes and do irritating things, especially when overworked and under stress.

But as long as those who such things upset either bite their tongues or have it out in sensible terms face-to-face with the person concerned, such entirely natural organisational tensions can be kept within legitimate boundaries. The person or group seeking to make more of them than that mark themselves out as working to someone else's agenda, and must be cold-shouldered accordingly. Maintaining party unity is as simple as that.

### FREE SPEECH MARTYRS

Of course, we know that such attempts to wreck our party will fail, but the Establishment seem to think that this attempt to put us out of business cannot go wrong for them even if all their plans and dreams don't come off. This could well be a drastic miscalculation. For while previous nationalist Free Speech martyrs - such as Joe Pearce, Michael McLaughlin, John Tyndall and Martin Wingfield - were almost unknown to the public, the situation is very different now.

First of all, the BNP nowadays is not a one or two-man band. There's a good team in place to enable the party to function as normal even if Mark and I are imprisoned. The website, *Freedom*, leaflets, election material, merchandise, CDs, DVDs, membership, administration, treasury, the British National Lottery, successful meetings, the monthly literature delivery run - all these things and many others will carry on regardless.

ID might slip, because full colour DTPers as good as Mark Collett don't grow on trees. I hope it will not, because John Bean will still be here, and alternative plans have been made to provide the technical support he needs to keep the magazine appearing every month.

I do not hold with the self-obsession which led some past nationalist leaders to face prison sentences without making preparations for things to continue as smoothly as possible in their absence, so that the ensuing chaos served to boost the

myth of their own indispensability. Accordingly, I've done my level best to create a team and structure which will continue to work well even while we're slopping out.

Second, things are changing fast in the big outside world as well. The BNP in general and Nick Griffin in particular are already known by millions for our warnings on Islam. But it is one thing merely to warn, and another entirely to do so and pay the price for doing so in time behind bars. To be sent to prison - with all that it entails in terms of squalor, danger, boredom and heart aching separation from loved ones - on this issue is a long-term political prize of incalculable value.

The Islamic fundamentalist threat is going to be *the* great issue and decision of our time. The public aren't going to flock to us in droves the moment the BBC gleefully tells them we've been led away in handcuffs, but it will mark the moment we change from being a minor political party and move to a new plane as archetypal rebels with a just and popular cause.

Meanwhile, on a more immediate and practical level, several essential books have to be written, and the day-to-day tasks of managing an operation of the BNP's size, complexity and under the pressures to which our movement is subjected mean that realistically a prison cell is the only place to get the job done.

This is why I have no doubt that, in historical terms, a lengthy prison sentence would be the best possible outcome of this trial. Of course, the judge would hand it down complete with a lecture about this not being a political offence but common criminality. But such cant fools nobody, and certainly not the politically aware minority of the population whose conscious and subconscious minds we have to get inside.

Simon Darby has already summed up the situation if Mark and I get that sentence: "Everyone in the BNP will have to serve it as well." For every day that we are behind bars for the crime of telling the truth, every single British National Party member must put in extra work, extra commitment, extra thought, and above all - extra tolerance of the failings and foibles of fellow members. For everything there is a time, and if we're thrown in prison it will be time, more than ever, for the BNP to all stand together.





Muslim general Abd er Rahman



# Why Islam Didn't Conquer the World

» *Europe is indebted to Charles Martel, the King of the Franks (who gave France its name) who defeated the Muslim attempt to take over northern Europe - at the Battle of Tours in 732 AD. Prior to this the Muslim warriors had appeared to be unstoppable. This very readable article first appeared on the internet October 30<sup>th</sup> last and is by Paul Akers, editor of the "Free Lance-Star"(USA).*

» In a sustained, century-long rampage that would have wowed Rommel, the Prophet Mohammed and his successors, beginning in A.D. 629 conquered not only Arabia, Persia, Syria, Egypt, and North Africa, but also branded the crescent of Islam on lands formerly within the fold of a Christian Roman Empire then in ruins. In 709, Arab horsemen and their allies crossed the Strait of Gibraltar. Four short years later, Spain belonged to the Empire of the Prophet.

In the summer of 732, the centennial of Mohammed's death, this veteran Islamic juggernaut, at least 80,000 strong with the skilled and popular general Abd er Rahman at its head, passed over the Pyrenees Mountains into what is now France to begin the conquest of "the Great Land" - Christian Europe. After that would come the subjugation of whatever new worlds lay across the oceans.

Probably, Mr. Reader, you did not yesterday wash five times, face Mecca, sink to your knees, and pray to Allah. Most likely, Ms. Reader, you did not cover yourself with a burka before venturing out to shop. Probably neither of you gave up all food between sunup and sundown during the monthlong Ramadan.

For freedom from all of these obligations, you might spare a minute to say a silent "thank you" to a gang of half-savage Germans and especially to their leader, Charles "The Hammer" Martel. When the Muslim horde thundered out of the Pyrenees, hardly breaking stride to slaughter one small army of river-crossing defenders, it was Martel and his wild Frankish troops who stood waiting for them

just outside the shrine-city of Tours.

Abd er Rahman must have smirked. With irresistible fury, he and his predecessors for a century had rolled up one opposition force after another on three continents, suffering no serious setbacks. His cavalry, the very size and splendour of which robbed brave men of their hearts before the order to charge ever sounded, was battle-tested and motivated by god and gold: Riches filled the Abbey of St. Martin of Tours, then the holiest site in Christendom.

## 'DREADFUL BROTHERHOOD

The poet Robert Southey in "Roderick" described the intruders as "a dreadful brotherhood of long success Elate, and proud of that o'er-whelming strength Which surely, they believed, as it had rolled Thus far uncheck'd, would roll victorious on Till, like the Orient, the subjected West Should bow in reverence to Mahommed's name; And pilgrims from remotest Arctic shores Tread with religious feet the burning sands Of Araby and Mecca's stony soil."

And to prevent this, what? A square of shaggy quasi-barbarians armed with swords, spears, and clubs. Perhaps Abd er Rahman's chief regret was that there were too few of the outnumbered foe to go around. But Martel was not the typical infidel jackleg general. A king's bastard son who had to fight to hold his own after the death of his father, Martel had honed his martial skills both against



other Frankish princes and pagan invaders from the right bank of the Rhine—in the words of British historian Sir Edward Creasy, “fierce tribes of the unconverted Frisians, Bavarians, Saxons, and Thuringians.”

In these berserkers, Martel saw a later version of his own kith and kin. Only a few generations earlier, it was his Germanic ancestors who had forded the river, torn off chunks of a dying Roman Empire, but, paradoxically and wholly unlike the conquering Arabs to the south, accepted the faith of those they slew and dispossessed.

Few details about the Battle of Tours survive. From what historians can glean from Christian and Arab sources, it appears that for six days in October 732 the two armies shifted and feinted. The weather grew colder. The Franks were dressed for it, the Muslims were not. Martel could afford to hold his ground. On a Saturday—the day after the Muslim holy day, when prayers were offered up to Mohammed on the 100th anniversary of his death and religious fervour reached its zenith—the Arab-led cavalry attacked.

On occasion, brave, disciplined infantry in tight formation could turn back a cavalry charge. It was when defensive phalanxes cracked and foot soldiers fled in pell-mell panic that the fun for the mounted warrior began. In Abd er Rahman’s case, his men soon expected to be playing polo not with mallets and balls but with scimitars and heads.

But a funny thing happened on the way to the massacre. The Frankish square, though possibly penetrated, did not shatter. Martel’s men stood fast, the spirit of Christ and Thor - a potent mix - fastening their feet to French sod.

The Muslim horsemen who fell in battle envisioned awakening in the heavenly arms of beautiful virgins. Not bad as long-term R&R goes. But the Franks likely foresaw a Christo-barbaric afterlife equally appealing: crystal

streams rippling across new battlefields where they could eternally ply their gory art, and streets of gold fronting mead halls where the beer was cold and wenches willing. (While this may be an unorthodox view of life beyond Checkpoint Peter, be honest. It beats a 10-million-year harp concert, doesn’t it?)

Matters went from bad to worse for the attackers when the rumour spread that some of the Franks were raiding the attackers’ camp, looting the Muslim booty. As some of the cavalymen sped back to their tents, others interpreted their movement as a frightened retreat - precisely what then ensued. In this chaos, Abd er Rahman was surrounded by the enemy, who cut him down.

Leaderless, the Arab throng broke off the fight. “All the host fled before the enemy,” candidly wrote one Arab source, “and many died in the flight.” A monk claimed that the ratio of Muslim to Christian dead was about 370:1. Even if he was exaggerating - a virtual certainty - the Islamic world took the loss hard. Muslim historians for centuries referred to Tours, notes Creasy, as “the deadly battle” and “the disgraceful overthrow.”

Never again did Islamic armies seriously threaten the Great Land of Gaul and beyond. Martel spent the rest of his life crushing smaller bands of Arab interlopers. Eventually, the heroes of the *reconquista* threw the Moors out of Spain.

But if the Hammer had lost?

## OVER THE RHINE

In that case, the great historian Edward Gibbon foresaw this in store for a weak and divided Europe:

“A victorious line of [Muslim] march had been prolonged above a thousand miles from the rock of Gibraltar to the banks of the Loire; the repetition of an equal space would have carried the Saracens [Arabs] to the confines of Poland and the Highlands of Scotland; the Rhine is not more impassable than the Nile or Euphrates, and the Arabian fleet might have sailed without a naval combat into the mouth of the Thames.”

Gibbon called those eight days in 732 “the events that rescued our ancestors of Britain, and our neighbours of Gaul [France], from the civil and religious yoke of the Koran.”

Thus, Christianity might now exist in a few miserable oppressed enclaves - or not at all. In Persia, militant Islam overran a kingdom with a firmly established, 2,000-year-old religion. Bumped into any Zoroastrians lately?

In the book “What If?: The World’s Foremost Military Historians Imagine What Might Have Been,” Barry Strauss of Cornell points out that an Islamicized Europe would have meant that during the Age of Exploration, European sailing captains would have planted not the Cross, but the Crescent, in the soil of the New World.

Even without overrunning Europe, Islam spread its faith and doctrine to parts of India, the Philippines, Thailand, and central Africa. Had Charles Martel faltered at Tours, all of the largely Christian populations of Asia and Africa and South America would now, most likely, be solidly Muslim. “Today,” writes Strauss, “there would only be one world religion: Islam.”

And what sort of world would that be? Without the Christian quickening of conscience that helped abolish



CHARLES MARTEL: The Hammer at the ‘deadly battle’





slavery in England, the United States, and elsewhere, the Quran-sanctioned institution might be the global norm. An Emir Ibrahim al-Lincoln would not have issued the Emancipation Proclamation.

Ever wonder at the hatred of Orthodox Christian Serbs for Muslim Bosnians? One reason is that the ancestors of the former had to flee Constantinople when the Muslims overwhelmed the Christian East, killing or taking into bondage many who remained. The seething anti-Islamic passions in the Balkans make sense when you consider that the very name 'Slav' comes from 'slave.'

Women the world over also would be permanent second-class citizens. Many if not most - observe Saudi

Arabia - would be forbidden to drive a car, own property, or vote. Battered females might well lack legal or other recourse.

Creasy argues also that the Martel victory "preserved the relics of ancient *and the germs of modern civilizations.*" That is, in a Muslim Dominion, the ferment of the Middle Ages, which sparked the Enlightenment with all of its scientific, economic, and political fruits, would never have occurred. Look at the modern Islamic world: backward, unfree, poor - in sad fact, scarcely modern at all. This could be the state of all humankind if not for a Europe where, as Strauss notes, "church and state were [often] at loggerheads," helping form a culture that was, "compared to Islam, decentralized, secularized, individualistic, profit-driven."

Half-educated Christophobes who think the faith contributed nothing but superstition and inventive torture to the human story should ponder Strauss' words. So should modern zealots who would happily marry church and state.

Without the victory at Tours, there would be no suds-swinging Oktoberfest, no Halloween (because no All Hallows Eve), indeed little fun now or at any other time of the year under a Shari'a, or religious law, not noted for winking at petty vices.

Pray for Europe. But save a few prayers, too, for a band of bearded, coarse, but faithful men who stood shoulder-to-shoulder in a cold dawn and faced proven death galloping full-speed toward them - only to unhorse that grim rider and break his bones to bits.

Which is to say that if in the next life you can't find the Pearly Gates, just follow the sound of the loud German drinking songs. You'll get to the right place.



**ON THE FRONTLINE:** Serbian troops serving in Kosovo



## British National Lottery

### Spring Draw

**1st Prize**



**Hot Air Balloon Experience for 2, plus £100 spending money**

**2nd: £50 Excalibur Gift Voucher,**

**3rd: Year Subscription to VOF & Id,**

**4th: 5 x Time To Make A Stand Cds**

The draw date is Saturday 18th March 2006 and tickets are available now. Members will automatically receive a book of ten tickets in their *British. Nationalist* members' bulletin but extra copies can be ordered by calling 07077 820263 or 01463 793298 or e-mailing [bnl@bnp.org.uk](mailto:bnl@bnp.org.uk) (UK resident non-members may also order quantities in the same manner).



# BOOK REVIEW: State Secrets: The Kent-Wolkoff Affair

» Review by Chris Beverley


» *State Secrets* by Bryan Clough is an interesting examination of a series of events at the beginning of World War Two that collectively became known as the Kent-Wolkoff Affair. The affair in question involved a worker at the American Embassy in London, Tyler Kent, and Anna Wolkoff, a Russian-born dress designer who had acquired British nationality in 1935. The pair were dragged before the courts as a result of the British state's claim that Kent had passed secret documents onto Wolkoff, who in turn had supposedly passed these 'state secrets' onto Fascist Italy. Clough puts this whole episode under the historical microscope and exposes the trial as a sham and reveals the real reasons behind the trials and subsequent imprisonment of Tyler Kent and Anna Wolkoff.

The real cause of the Kent-Wolkoff affair was the discovery by Tyler Kent of secret transmissions between President Roosevelt and Winston Churchill, in which it is clear that Roosevelt is conspiring to get his country involved in the hostilities of the Second World War, despite the USA being supposedly neutral at the time. For the modern reader, the fact that Roosevelt wanted war with Germany may not be much of a revelation, but at the time of these uncovered correspondences this was an explosive issue. The British state realised this and through the swift trial and imprisonment of Kent and Wolkoff managed to silence the whole issue. By the time this pair were out of prison Roosevelt had won another term in office and helped to bring the USA into the war on the side of Britain.

Why should this book be of any interest to us? Well apart from adding weight to the views commonly held by nationalists as to the motivations of F.D.R. during World War Two, *State Secrets* will be a fascinating read for anyone interested in the behaviour of the British state towards political dissidents both before and during the War. With Blair's government becoming more and more oppressive towards nationalists such an examination will become even more enlightening.

*State Secrets* brings us face to face with personalities such as Captain A.H.M. Ramsay, a conservative MP who objected to war with Germany and was made aware of the Roosevelt-Churchill transmissions. As an opponent of the war, he was understandably outraged, and requested copies to be sent to then Prime Minister Neville Chamberlain. Clearly such a troublesome MP had to be silenced, and quickly, and Ramsay's dramatic arrest in the Houses of Parliament is colourfully described by Clough.

Numerous historical characters from the history of the British Fascist tradition are also brought to life in *State Secrets*. We meet Sir Oswald Mosley, whose British Union of Fascists remains the most well-known Fascist outfit in Britain's political history. Clough exposes the way in which documents were 'sexed-up' in order to justify the internment of Mosley and hundreds of his members and



supporters, a measure that Clough clearly does not believe to have been necessary. If the day comes when BNP members are to be interned supposedly in the interest of state security, a great degree of 'sexing up' of documents by the government will likewise be needed.

So too do we meet William Joyce, a.k.a. Lord Haw-Haw, whose infamous 'Germany Calling' broadcasts were a constant annoyance to the British state during the war. We learn of the ways in which Joyce worked to undermine the propaganda of the British government, and Clough also reveals that it was most likely a tip-off from an old friend (and former comrade in the *British Fascisti*, Britain's first ever Fascist party) working for the British Government that he was about to be interned that caused Joyce to flee to Germany as what may today be classed as a political refugee. Clough describes the trial and execution for treason of Joyce (not even a British subject) at the end of the war, an act of vengeance that Clough clearly does not believe to have been justified.

*State Secrets* is an interesting book and is useful on many levels. For those of you who are students of the history of this period, particularly of the behaviour of the British Government before and during World War Two, this book will be of invaluable historical worth. For those of you without such academically-founded motivations, *State Secrets* may be read simply as an interesting story inhabited by characters from history that you have heard of but otherwise know little about, and who lived in a dynamic time of change that represented a cross roads for our Christian and European civilisation.

See page 27 for more Excalibur merchandise  
and payment details





# Dental Mercury Could Be Killing You

» In this article David Topple (having once been a sceptic on the dangers of mercury) presents the growing evidence of the serious dangers to our health that can come from mercury and other metals used in dental fillings.

» Many of you who keep an eye on the party's website will no doubt have read Ralf Windsor's articles in the past on the dangers of dental mercury. Until recently I would have been pretty sceptical about much of what he writes. Not any more.

My first contact with this issue occurred some months ago when a friend of mine suddenly developed a mysterious illness. I remember seeing him one day: an apparently fit and healthy 37-year-old man had suddenly become an exhausted shadow of his former self. He walked from one room of his flat to another and felt as if he'd just done a marathon. I later learned that such M.E.-like symptoms are indicative of mercury poisoning. In fact, the condition that doctors diagnose as M.E. arguably doesn't exist.

Any dentist who routinely removes mercury fillings for replacement with white composites will probably tell you that M.E. patients usually recover their health soon after removal. If you suffer from M.E. or anything similar, you are being poisoned - probably by your mercury fillings or the mercury-based 'Thimerosal' preservative in inoculations but, in the absence of these, then from poisons in your diet or from your parents (mercury is believed to cross a pregnant mother's placenta and I understand from my reading that mercury in the father's sperm also plays a role). Before you decide to start a family, get yourself detoxified! One could argue that our young people are being subjected to mercury from what now amounts to at least three generations of mothers who had mercury in their bodies.

It's also worth bearing in mind that in this chemical-soaked society, it is possible to be poisoned by mercury in your own domestic environment. Beauty products (mascara and hair dyes being good examples), some contact lens liquids and eye ointments,



tooth whitening products, tattoos, canned food, paint and other decorating products, (mercury is now banned in paint - *Editor*) bleach, adhesives, insecticides and fungicides are just a few examples on a long list of potentially harmful sources.

One inherent problem here is the various sources of toxicity. Dental fillings and inoculations are simply the most frequent and highly concentrated of all the sources of metal poisoning in humans. All of us are susceptible to it, but the poisoning manifests itself in different ways, largely dependent upon our own genetic make-up, the extent of the poisoning, our previous medical history and the state of our immune systems.

## DENTAL METALS

Interestingly, mercury is not the only metal used in dentistry. Also to be found are beryllium, chrome, cobalt, gold, nickel, palladium, platinum, silver, thallium, tin, and zinc. These are invariably used as alloys to provide strength. Heaven knows what this lot are doing to many of us.

Of particular interest during my friend's poisoning was that the NHS

proved utterly useless in the diagnosis of his condition. Go on, admit it dear reader - like everybody else in this country you think the NHS is wonderful, don't you? Often it is. This entity we are taught to worship is, however, probably poisoning millions of people, sometimes through the cocktail of drugs from those caring trans-national drug companies who ply their lucrative trade via your GP's practice, but often through the placement of dental mercury in unsuspecting patients. It is somewhat ironic that a person who insists on NHS dental treatment is even more likely to fall victim to a mouthful of metals - the use of modern, (hopefully safe!) white composite filling materials is only an option if you are able to pay for private treatment. Furthermore, there is only a tiny number of dentists who run 'mercury-free' practices.

So fed up did my friend become with his condition (and with his GP who suggested he may have depression) that he decided to research his symptoms on the internet. Soon it became apparent that he may have been poisoned, and a worrying incident during which he collapsed and was paralysed for several minutes



really set off the proverbial alarm bells. Of course, this being 21<sup>st</sup> century Britain, the paramedics who turned up to help him just assumed he was yet another drug user - that typical and inevitable diagnosis so indicative of our wonderful 'liberal' society.

A so-called Kelmer test (a sometimes risky procedure using powerful amino acids that rapidly force mercury out of your system and allow testing of the urine's toxicity) was arranged through a laboratory near Harley Street and the results proved his suspicions - he had a body overloaded with mercury. Of course, much of it had been there most of his life; it was just that travel inoculations for a trip to China tipped him over the edge. Soon after the test, he went back to London and had his fillings removed and replaced with white composites. Since then, he's been on a detoxification programme and his health is improving.

He now tells me that he keeps noticing memories he didn't even know he had. This occurs, one assumes, as the detoxification clears mercury out of the brain, a process which is certainly time consuming. It is in the brain that the most worrying effects of mercury are said to present themselves. Unfortunately, it is this organ which is

the most difficult to detoxify: mercury in the brain has a half-life of somewhat over two decades if it's not 'dragged kicking and screaming' out of the cells onto which it has bonded.

It was at the time of the above paralysis incident that I started to take an interest in mercury poisoning myself. After all, I was then suffering from the effects of a two month-old cough and chest infection that wouldn't go away. I was a third of the way through a stressful teacher training course and was having problems with frequent absence. I often felt exhausted for short periods at various times of the day. One morning I even fell asleep in the staff room and I just couldn't concentrate on anything.

Out of sheer curiosity, I sent a cheque to a pressure group calling itself PAMA (Patients Against Mercury Amalgams) and received by return of post a 230 page dossier on the dental mercury that I knew also resided in my own mouth. I also contacted a dental surgeon who specialised in mercury-free dentistry and read his leaflet that gave me a considerable amount of background information.

Some of the information in the PAMA dossier really made me sit up and take notice. Of course, when you have a medical problem, it's all too

easy to clutch at straws and find the solution in any inane theory that comes your way, but this seemed different. I was fascinated to read a list of known symptoms of low-level mercury poisoning and also fascinated to notice that I had had 10% of them during my lifetime.

## BRAIN FOG

Evidence was cited that frequent symptoms included gynaecological problems, sugar cravings (linked to the condition known as candida), food allergies, anxiety, vertigo, fatigue, asthma, memory loss, lack of ability to concentrate (a sort of 'brain fog'), general intellectual deterioration, confusion, Alzheimers Disease, infertility, loss of libido, the need for frequent urination, weak immune systems and depression. Needless to say, there were many others.

As an aside, isn't it interesting that there are so many depressed people in this country, all taking expensive, palliative drugs? Poor things - most of them aren't ill; they're being poisoned, just like a friend of mine who has a mouth full of metals and a ten year old mental illness problem. When I first met him in the mid '90s he was an 'anti-fascist' (that probably coincided with the onset of his mental illness). Now he's more open to the BNP's message and regularly looks at the party's website. I hope he reads this too!

Some of these cerebral effects of mercury are classified using the term 'erethism'. If you're interested, this aspect can be further investigated in textbooks on toxicology. Unfortunately, my own discussions with medically qualified people revealed that in this Tory/Labour/Lib Dem Utopia in which we are lucky to live, nobody has thought to have our physicians trained in toxicology. I was staggered to realise that I seemed to know more about it than the doctors at my local surgery.

If they take you to Accident and Emergency after you've swallowed half of the contents of a thermometer, they'll make the correct diagnosis (if you're still alive) but if you have chronic, low-level toxicity in your body, you can forget it! NHS tests for toxicity seem to be woefully lacking in sensitivity and the organisation's interest in the subject is also inadequate.

## Are we being poisoned from birth?







This state of affairs is replicated in other countries. In Germany for instance, Professor Otmar Wassermann at the University of Kiel found that his Institute of Toxicology was decimated in size (it may now have even been wound up). He had been heavily involved in research into dental mercury amalgam and played an important role in a report on this subject prepared by the German company Degussa for the German Public Prosecutor. Following the report, Degussa announced that it would be ceasing the manufacture of dental amalgam to avoid future litigation. Any German-speaking reader interested in this issue might like to enter the professor's name in an internet search engine.

This whole issue is a can of worms, but then the BNP is used to getting stuck into those cans with its tin openers and is attacked because of it.

Readers are probably wondering how dental mercury is believed to affect your body. Minute amounts of mercury vapour are apparently released from the teeth 24 hours a day and inhaled or swallowed. The process is even more rapid during brushing of the teeth and the chewing of food. Upon contact with anaerobic bacteria in our stomachs and intestines, the elemental mercury becomes something called methyl mercury, but retains its toxicity. The mercury enters the blood stream; some of it ends up on the walls of the blood vessels, furring them up, and some crosses the blood-brain barrier. Furring of the blood vessels is believed to be the cause of fatigue and exhaustion in some people, as the concentration of oxyhaemoglobin, which carries oxygen, has been found to elevate after removal of mercury.

#### MERCURY RETENTION

Elsewhere, mercury binds with cell membranes and toxifies the body in this way. Some unbonded mercury is eliminated by the body in sweat, urine and faeces, but the person is forced to store much of it and it soon becomes an additional load on the immune system. All the main organs are believed to retain mercury, so this means the brain, heart, kidneys, liver, lymphatic system, all of the glands including the pituitary, thyroid and hypothalamus, plus the joints, ovaries,

**Mercury vapour is released from fillings**



testicles, gums, hair and teeth. Are you worried? You probably should be!

Dental metals also cause a battery to be created in the mouth because of the effects of galvanism. I was surprised to find before removal of my own mercury fillings (you didn't think I'd keep them did you?!) that there were strong negative currents being generated in my mouth. The dentist measured 50 micro-amps from one tooth. That may not sound like a high current, but considering that the current which flows in our brains is much smaller, one wonders what effect this battery is having.

Perhaps we should also bear in mind that, as any practitioner of complementary medicine would probably tell us, we shouldn't disturb the energy fields in the body. Not only are metals in the mouth to be avoided, piercings should be avoided as well. Most of them look hideous anyway (especially on the face) although some strange young people in this country seem to think they're sexy. Mass media brain-washing and peer pressure yet again.

The amalgam removal process must be carefully planned and carried out. First, it is vital to have the work performed by a dentist who is aware of the risks and who will take all necessary precautions. Generally, one quadrant of the mouth will be dealt with at a time, and treatment will normally take place over the course of several visits, each a few days apart and on different weekdays in order to prevent possible overburdening of the immune system's seven day cycle. All affected teeth will have been tested for electrical currents and a plan will be

drawn up to remove the filling producing the highest negative current first, with the remainder dealt with in descending order of magnitude.

Some people who are seriously ill may at this stage want to undergo the above-mentioned Kelmer test, which will establish once and for all whether or not they are being poisoned.

As regards selection of replacement materials, the dentist may well use 'alternative' techniques like kinesiology to assess which composite filling is most appropriate for the individual patient. I was, to say the least, a little dubious about this at first, but having seen the technique applied, I was amazed by it.

Before and after removal of the fillings, dietary precautions are necessary. In the weeks leading up to removal, the patient slowly builds up intake of vitamin C supplements to about six grammes a day. On the first and succeeding days of treatment, this dose is supplemented by charcoal tablets which help to sweep up any mercury that does inadvertently get inside the body during drilling. Both charcoal tablets and vitamin C are two of the substances that assist in removal of the poison.

Drilling out of the mercury fillings could potentially result in severe illness, far worse than anything that could arise if the fillings were left as they were. This fact necessitates great care in their removal. Before work starts, a rubber 'dam' is constructed in the mouth to isolate the teeth being worked on and to prevent the patient swallowing drilled-out particles. Placement of the dam only takes a



couple of minutes, it is quite comfortable while in the patient's mouth and you can still breathe and swallow as normal. The eyes and face are covered and powerful suction is used in the mouth to extract all drilled-out materials. The new 'white' fillings are inserted as normal once the dentist has checked the cavity is healthy and ensured that all of the old metals have been taken out.

After treatment (or even before if possible) it is advisable to switch to an organic diet if this is at all feasible and affordable. Why spend lots of money ridding your body of poisons (I think I've got through about £400 so far) only to ingest them again later? The risks of crop spraying and other industrial processing of our food are well documented and there is no need for me to elaborate on them here. It is, however, useful to reiterate the idea often covered in other media that our industrial-scale food manufacturing produces food that is nutritionally poor.

Unfortunately (predictably?) there are food, drink and habits to be avoided! Specialists in this field advise dropping the consumption of alcohol, caffeine, sugar, chocolate and even dairy produce, i.e. much of what we like the most. It is also recommended that patients stop smoking. The dietary changes were for me the most difficult aspect of my anti-mercury programme (I've now cut out the caffeine at last but I've still not cut out the yoghurt). What is, however, quite peculiar is that since replacement of my fillings I've largely lost my desire for sugar, and those horrendous sugar-laden breakfast cereals, with their 20% sugar content, just taste too sweet now.

Adopting a largely organic diet, though expensive, was a revelation. Meat especially tastes far better when traditionally reared. It is, however, particularly expensive if organic, so my solution to this was simply to eat less of it, or at least to buy it from local producers who don't employ factory farming methods, even if they still use some additives. Do this for a while and that plastic, adulterated meat you buy in the supermarkets just won't seem like it's worth eating. That's the most wonderful thing of all: You can start to restrict - and ultimately avoid - the use of supermarkets, assuming you're not stuck in one of our big metropolitan areas where the nearest they let you get to nature is watching countryside

programmes on the TV.

After mercury filling removal, it is possible to undergo a process called chelation. This involves removal of existing metal toxins in the body. An organic diet would eventually do the job, but as noted above, the most dangerous effects of mercury are in the brain and removal from this organ is the most difficult. The body needs assistance to do the job quickly, and even then it can take many months, if not longer. Nevertheless, it is during this process that problems could potentially arise through careless use of nutritional supplements and specialist advice is recommended.

### DETOXIFY

My own approach was to adopt the programme prescribed for my above-mentioned friend, which essentially consists of NAC (an amino acid containing sulphur), MSM (organic sulphur), chlorella (fresh water algae), B vitamins, vitamin C, L-Methionine (an amino acid containing sulphur), and selenium. All of these are available from most health stores and they are supposed either to clean up or detoxify the body. Also highly effective are many foodstuffs, especially coriander, but also legumes, eggs, butter, cold pressed oils, citrus fruit, apples, pears, asparagus, brussels sprouts, cabbage, garlic, onions, salt, and of course water.

Not only should we get rid of chemicals in our bodies, but also of equal importance is to get rid of most of the chemicals in our homes. You don't need to wash your hair every day with that chemical shampoo full of 'sodium laurel sulphate'; once or twice a week is perfectly adequate for most of us. You don't need to use that chemical shower gel (also containing sodium laurel sulphate and god knows what

else); water on its own is just as good, and believe me, you don't end up smelling funny! You don't need fluoride in your toothpaste; this stuff is an industrial waste product. You don't need to spray your toilet with what to me smells worse than the worst bodily emissions; just open the window! I could go on and on in this vein.

I get very suspicious when I hear reports in the media that one third of us are likely to suffer from cancer during our lives; that the sperm count of British men has declined sharply in the last few decades; that our women are having fertility problems. Why is this happening then? The obvious answer is that we are all being poisoned by chemicals. The fact that the parties in Westminster don't seem to care is merely a reflection of the fact that ultimately they care about nothing other than their gods - trade and economic growth. If the public are poisoned in the process of worshipping these gods, who cares? Well, the BNP cares!

I shall end with one last comment on the subject of mercury in your mouth. If you consider having yours removed, make sure you read up on this subject first - there's a fair amount on the internet, but as always when using this source, be wary of what you look at. Most responsible dental practitioners will refuse to carry out any such treatment unless they are sure you know what you are doing. You will be asked to sign a disclaimer, because the British Dental Association's official policy is that mercury is perfectly safe.

### For further information, also try the following:

British Society for Mercury-Free Dentistry, 30 Weymouth Street, London W1N 3FA, tel. 0207 637 2732

International Academy of Oral Medicine and Toxicology, 141 Whitworth Road, Rochdale OL12 0RE, tel. 01706 525905

Patients Against Mercury Amalgams, Flat 9, 6-9 Bridgewater Square, London EC2Y 8AG, tel. 0207 256 2994

<http://dspace.dial.pipex.com/angela.kimartin>

and for the Establishment's view: British Dental Association, 64 Wimpole Street, London W1M 8AL, tel. 0207 935 0875.







# What's Wrong With the Police?

» Prompted by our December editorial, Mark Nixon adds his views on the politicisation of our police

» I was brought up to believe that the police should be respected and that if I behaved myself they would be my friends. Policemen were to be trusted. They were on my side. This is the way it used to be.

Not too long ago I saw the blatant use of excessive force against BNP and pro-hunt demonstrators. In consequence my beliefs over the years have been dashed. It has become increasingly obvious that there are heavy, unseen forces at work behind the scenes. Rules can be manipulated and interpreted many ways according to several factors; for example, available budget, manpower or the political leaning of a police chief or his boss. Interpretation can lead to abuse of power. We all know that a decision to prosecute can sometimes defy all logic. Nick Griffin and Mark Collett are on trial for telling the truth. Hiding behind the doors of bureaucracy are the manipulators. They are known as politicians.

Targets are set and Police Authorities failing to reach them are admonished. Targets are set for many different reasons. Maybe to raise money, as in the case of speed cameras or to increase the number of prosecutions for a particular crime. Now it appears maybe to suppress political opposition, which is very serious.

The BNP has been the target of the left for many years, but now we have New Labour using our Police as its own private army. Police on the beat are urged on by their bosses to be extra politically correct when dealing with members of the BNP. Many officers are horrified by the guidelines they now have to work under. Even so, there are still numerous examples where BNP Councillors, canvassers, leafleteers and sellers of Voice of Freedom are being harassed by the police or even arrested. Some youngsters below the age of 16 have been arrested whilst on official BNP business.

*Freedom* has to be printed outside the UK and 60,000 copies, a whole lorry load, was seized by Special Branch when it arrived on our shores. Not a word on the radio or tv news or newspapers. Imagine what would have happened if the lorry had contained copies of any other paper. The media would have had a field day. It can't be too far away,

VOF today, *Times* or *Telegraph* tomorrow?

All the major banks have refused to handle BNP accounts. Heavy, unseen forces manipulated by hidden hands are hard at work against democracy.

Any member of the silent majority can be a victim of positive discrimination. On a long list of young white lads beaten to death, some of the main suspects have been Muslims. In many of these cases the Crown Prosecution Service has declined to prosecute. The CPS has established a track record which shows conclusively that it is unwilling to prosecute Muslims for a whole range of offences, from murder and rape to racial violence and arson. Even if a prosecution is successful the sentence handed down has been minimal. This is political correctness gone mad.

## POSITIVE DISCRIMINATION

Black schoolboys are under performing. Council for Racial Equality boss, Trevor Phillips, insists that this is because they are impoverished and under privileged and that extra resources must be made available to reverse the trend. There is a shortage of teachers. Positive discrimination in favour of Black schoolboys will only serve to reduce the care and attention due to other pupils.

Where can we start to bring about an end to the mess we have brought upon ourselves?

We can stop the hand outs for a start. Many come here simply because we are an easy touch: free accommodation; pocket money, free medical treatment, free transport. If only we looked after our own people half as well.

We have the right to know that immigrants are not bringing disease into our country. Medical checks must be the order of the day.

International Law states that we do not have to accept asylum seekers who have crossed more than one international border. We must apply this law vigorously.

Brits abroad have to obey the local laws. We must insist that visitors to our country obey our laws. We do not



allow forced marriages or bigamy. We do not tolerate grievous bodily harm whether by the mutilation of female genitalia or any other means. Our police must apply our laws in spite of orders to the contrary and political correctness and positive discrimination must be abandoned.

We have recently gone through the New Labour madness of banning hunting. You may or may not agree with hunting. But consider, why did animal rights activists go to extreme measures to stop the hunting of a small number of animals, during a short, specified season? If they had really wanted to maximise the effect of their

efforts on the number of animals involved, then their attention would have been better directed towards stopping the prolonged death agonies of thousands of animals each week. These are the animals destined for human consumption and subjected to ritual killing, according to the traditional demands of some religions. The RSPCA regularly instigate prosecutions against cruel animal minders. This is important work, but the numbers involved are insignificant when compared with those subjected to ritual slaughter every day. Yet another example of political correctness and positive discrimination by those in authority.

# The Pensions Crisis

» *Ian Buckley says that it is the erosion of traditional, permanent employment that is the chief factor behind the pensions crisis*

» The recent Turner report on pensions has made two main suggestions. Firstly, that people should be encouraged to save for retirement, and secondly that the pension age should be raised to 67. But the real reason people are not saving, and also for the whole pension crisis itself is simple one. The pensions crisis is the result of 25 years of economic failure, as traditional, permanent employment has more or less vanished, to be replaced by contract and part-time work - or indeed no work at all. But this obvious deduction would be beyond the author of this report.

Lord Turner, a recently created baron of the balance sheet, was formerly Adair Turner, the CBI chief. Wearing another hat, he's now an executive director of the finance house Merrill Lynch. As Turner is a merchant banker, it would seem that a harsh and strict financial orthodoxy is all that he knows and understands. Social Credit and Distributism are ignored, even though the classical system produces crisis after crisis. But even if one accepts the precepts of a financial order that was outdated by 1914, why should would-be retirees bear the brunt of the ill effects of 'lack of money' anyway?

## QUESTION OF PRIORITIES

There is never any question of financial stringency when it comes to the astronomical sums spent propping up a failed occupation and war in Iraq and Afghanistan. Nor do such strictures apply when it comes to the expenses involved in importing an economic underclass for Adair's mates in the CBI in the guise of 'asylum seekers'. As ever, it's not really a question of money, but of *priorities*. To be more precise, priorities that have been perverted in the service of a globalist agenda.

Additionally, all governments of the past 60 years have been culpable of fraud with their so-called 'National Insurance Fund'. Victims have paid in, imagining that they were contributing to their pensions, while governments have spent the money on something else. If any private insurance scheme did the same, their board of directors would be in jail!

This is not the first time that New 'Labour' has made the

Lord Turner



elderly bear the consequences of its own grotty mismanagement. A specially mean-minded measure, made just to save a few millions, was forcing OAPs to have their pensions paid into bank accounts, by the ruse of making the collection of cash payments as inconvenient and bothersome as possible. But in Blair's bonkers Britain, the banking system in which they've been forced has a very large defect.

Over the last ten years, almost 4,000 British bank branches have closed, around 25% of the total. More and more communities have just one bank left when once they had a choice of three or four. The process is expected to



accelerate over the next few years. Spain has around five times as many banks per head of population. So much for the glib - but unconvincing - yammering about prosperity!

Quite a few of our elected representatives probably pay more attention to sniffing cocaine in the lavatories of the Mother of Parliaments than they ever do to the statistics concerning the numbers of old folk that die of the cold every winter. The approximate figure in a normal winter is 22,000. **Twenty-two thousand.** Additionally, 1.5

million pensioners suffer from malnutrition, due to the 'heat or eat' dilemma. With the gas price hike and the forecast cold weather, the figures will be even worse this winter. Just think of that when you see the smirking face of our 'acting prime minister', if you can still bear to look at him.

Until we stop pretending that economic failure is success, and have proper leaders who can undo economic decline, the pension crisis will continue and worsen.

# A No To Increased Speed Limits

» Joe Finnon of BNP Students responds to Dennis Whittings' article in November's issue, titled "Speeding Limits- Faster Could Mean Safer."

» The case for increasing speed limits from 30mph to 40mph was an interesting one. However, I believe there is an inherent danger by this proposal. Human nature is one designed to test limits. Mr. Whiting in his article states: "...the best endeavour of probably the majority of drivers (myself included) has been to keep their speed down fairly close to the limits rather than strictly conforming to them at all times." This is true for many drivers, and this is why increasing the limit will not change the culture of testing that limit.

From the early years of life humans test their surroundings in order to develop rules. These rules divide into the must be followed, the should be followed but can be broken on certain occasions, and finally the ideal rule which is impossible to keep to so one should attempt to remain near the limits set. If we look at many laws with restrictions, such as alcohol purchasing and consumption or the legal age of sex, we can see clearly in the current society that these are broken on regular occasions. So why would the speed limit be any different?

There is a second issue here to be considered also, the increasing of speed on a pedestrian's ability to see the car. The key group at danger from speeding cars are inevitably children. Invariably children will cross the road at dangerous times whether whilst playing or by pure poor judgement. A child is 50% more likely to survive if hit at 30mph than 40mph.

## TECHNOLOGY

Finally, we need to consider technology. Speed guns are unreliable. Mr Whiting's statement calling for strict enforcement of the new speed limit currently could not happen. In a court of law, the error margin of any instrument used must be included. Currently drivers therefore know that they can drive up to 10%+ 2mph from the limit. That means that currently in a 30mph zone, a driver cannot be convicted by speed camera evidence, unless they are travelling at 35mph. However, if a 40mph limit was imposed this would increase to 46mph, which I hope we all can see is not viable in many areas that now fall within the 30mph zone.

Mr. Whiting also discusses the party policy of increasing motorway speed limits closer to those in other



European countries. This is a proposal I support in principle as currently in Britain the only time you would be out of traffic jams and road works would be either late at night or the early hours of the morning and therefore these speeds are viable. However, I would also call for the legal implementation of lower limits on motorways during poor driving conditions (fog, heavy rain, snow etc). These would be clearly advertised at points along the motorway to ensure a safe future for British drivers.

Finally, I would like to add a point on speeding fines. I believe a BNP government should call for a tiered fine system. In doing this those who just slightly stray over the legal limit are not hit as hard as those travelling recklessly over the limit, deliberately endangering others for either their own enjoyment or because they believe where they need to be so quickly is more important than following British Law. I would therefore propose the following system:

All fines start with a flat amount (X). Then for every 5% of the limit they go over, a further amount to be decided on consultation with the relevant bodies will be added (Y). Eg if you are travelling at 56mph in a 40mph zone the fine would be calculated as follows:

**Standard fine £X + No of units over the limit:**

**8 units ((56-40)/2) £Y x 8**

**Total fine payable £Z**

**Payable within 7 working days.**



# Tory Leadership Election

» By Ian Buckley

» I am - quite possibly - the wrong person to be writing this article. When the question: 'Who should be the next Conservative leader?' is posed, my reply would be: 'What's wrong with Tony Blair?'

Joking aside, while the alleged antics of a member of the Tory top team may be a little too 'heterosexualist' for New Labour, are there any significant differences between the two parties? The New Labour project started out by imitating many of the more 'saleable' aspects of the Tories but now the wheel has turned full circle and Conservatives imitate the Great Phoney's deeply bogus party.

It's one lot of shiny, utterly replaceable and forgettable smoothy-chops lawyers in braces versus another lot of the same. Though maybe the ones in office have lost a lot of their initial gloss, due to the workaday exhaustion of continually acting as lackeys of America and big business. As Richard Ingrams wisely commented, the strain of office has usually made most recent Prime Ministers go mad - always assuming that they were not initially mad!


The newly elected Conservative leader David Cameron prefers - if only for PR purposes - to be known as Dave. It has to be admitted that he didn't exactly have a distinguished start to his political career, first being noted as a special adviser to Norman Lamont around the time of the Black Wednesday debacle.

His acceptance speech dwelled on the need to attract women, the young and ethnic minorities to the Conservatives. Hey, Dave, if you meant business, you'd want to attract more than these ad-man designated categories to your party! Everyone loathes and despises New Labour, so your task should be easy.

But there is a problem here: the overall general agenda never changes. The opposition - naturally - opposes the agenda when out of office, but advances what it opposed when it gets back in office. And as the opposition nears to governmental office, its leaders are virtually forced to perform the usual obeisance to various power brokers, just as Blair did in his Australian trip to Rupert Murdoch.

Cameron seems likely to encourage the further growth of the political correctness cult within his party. The new Tory vice-chairwoman (sorry vice-chair) is Margo James, who is described as a successful lesbian millionaire. While this particular post is usually filled by exotic characters - think of Jeffrey Archer - the choice of Ms. James doesn't seem to display much sense of 'inclusion' towards the vast majority of women who are neither lesbian nor millionaires.

In their first encounter over the frontbenches, Cameron said of Blair: 'He was the future once'. Now, while this got a few laughs at the expense of the exhausted maniac, could not the same be said of Cameron? 'Dave' might have a few worthwhile ideas like introducing a 'social justice commission' but how much of this will actually be turned into action? Under a 'Labour' government which is so



harsh and uncaring, the Tories might get more popular support in the novel role of friends of the disadvantaged.

But such a course of action, if sincere, would undoubtedly mean more big money donations going to 'Labour', as the favoured party to continue the rolling programme of globalisation. Additionally, the long-run decline in Conservative membership when coupled with tensions over Europe - which 'Dave' was careful not to mention - implies that the Conservative Party will eventually split asunder. This will probably happen sooner rather than later!

## LEGACIES

We wish all our members a long life, but none of us can stay on this earth for ever. Have you ever thought about how you can help the cause when you are gone?

The British National Party has benefited immensely from just a few of its members remembering the party in their Wills. Why not become one of those benefactors yourself?

Whatever you do, make sure you do not die in testate and let your estate go to prop up the anti-British Establishment!

It is natural that if you have family, you should wish to provide for them but nevertheless you may well like to set aside something for the good cause as well. On the other hand, if you have no next of kin there is all the more reason for ensuring that the party gets something by which to remember you.

**If you want a BNP Will pack, then phone 07969 336294 or write to PO Box 1032, Woodford Green, Essex IG8 9GN**





# John Bean's Nationalist Notebook

## The New 'Priority Crimes'

When children's rights author Lynette Burrows made unfashionable comments about homosexual couples and adoption on Radio Five Live it led to a most sinister development. The police contacted her the following day to say that a 'homophobic incident' had been reported against her. When she told the policewoman that it was a free country and that we are allowed to express opinions on matters of public interest, she was told that Scotland Yard had said that it was policy for community safety units to investigate homophobic, racist and domestic incidents because these were "priority crimes".

We assume that these take precedence over such "non-priority crimes" as mugging or stealing to feed a drug habit since magistrates and judges were told last month not to give custodial sentences for such offences.

Lynette Burrows' "priority crime" was committed when she said on the radio programme about the new civil partnership act that she did not believe that homosexuals should be allowed to adopt. She added that placing boys with two homosexuals for adoption was as obvious a risk as placing a girl with two heterosexual men who offered themselves as parents. "It is a risk", she said. "You would not give a small girl to two men."

*Identity* contributor Alastair Harper says that this case highlights the fact that today in the UK we are being eased into a state of mind where sexual relations between men, and subsequently between men and boys, are regarded as right and proper relationships. Whereas this was not historically accepted in the countries of Northern Europe. He rightly says: "How far has the thinking public considered the flimsiness of the barrier between homosexuality and paedophilia?"

## A Mosque Too Big and Too Many

The BNP recognises that Muslims who continue to live in this country have the same right as other religious groups to have adequate places of worship. Until some ten years ago there were fewer than 50 mosques throughout Great Britain, some of them just converted houses. Even though we are repeatedly told that the number of Muslims in the UK is still not more than around 1.5 million and that we are not being 'inundated', the number of mosques has risen to 1,500.

Our charge that we are being lied to in regard to the numbers here is surely borne out by the news that it is planned to build a giant mosque at London's Olympic site which will hold 40,000 worshippers and 70,000 in total including the proposed ancillary buildings. Contrast this with the modest 3,000 capacity at the Anglican Cathedral in Liverpool, the UK's largest place of Christian worship.

Currently the London Muslim Centre in Whitechapel, opened in June 2004, holds the title for the UK's largest mosque compound and can hold 10,000 worshippers.

An important point to note is that centre members raised about £4 million (of the £10 million total) for the Whitechapel mosque *with the bulk of funding coming from taxpayers via such public agencies as the European Development Fund, the London Borough of Tower Hamlets, and the London Development Agency.* We can only wonder how much the British and European taxpayers will have to cough up for the new giant mosque.

The plans for this giant mosque should be opposed by all other religious groups, including pagans, before the project goes any further. It will hold only 10,000 fewer people than the 2012 Olympics stadium, just 500 yards away, and overshadow it. Equally important, those behind the £100 million plan are the fundamentalist group Tablighi Jamaat, which has come under scrutiny from western security agencies since the Twin Towers attack. Two years ago, according to the *New York Times*, a senior FBI anti-terrorism official claimed it was a recruiting ground for Al-Qaeda. British police investigated a report that Mohammad Sidique Khan, leader of the July 7 London bombers, had attended its present headquarters in Dewsbury. In August, Bavaria expelled three members of the organisation on the grounds that it promoted Islamic extremism.

## How Did Sunny Jim Make His Millions?

One of the great mysteries in the life of Lord 'Sunny Jim' Callaghan, former Labour PM who died last March aged 92, was how a working class boy who served in the merchant navy during the war came to own 138 acres of prime farming land in Sussex and leave almost £2 million in his will.

The *Evening Standard* (London), 14.12.05 revealed that Owen Williams, a Tory-supporting businessman, had bought the farm for around £1.5 million. Now back between 1945 and 1987 when Jim Callaghan held a parliamentary seat in Cardiff, Owen Williams had several commercial interests in Wales and also stood in Assembly elections there.

Apparently the secret of Callaghan's financial success was his friendship with Sir Julian Hodge, who founded the Commercial Bank of Wales and made Callaghan its founding director along with George Thomas, another South Wales MP who became Commons Speaker and later Viscount Tonypanody.

Whilst this answers the question of where did Lord Callaghan's money come from, it poses the question of what did he and the other Labour MP have to do to get their banking jobs?

## More Immigrants Won't Solve Pensions Crisis

It is amazing that so-called business experts can still be so short-sighted as to contend that we must import even more immigrants in order to meet the pension



shortfall under Lord Turner's recommendations. One of the latest advocates for this step is David Blake, director of the pensions institute at Cass Business School. He said that in the most extreme cases the country would need a net 500,000 immigrants a year extra to pay for retirees' pensions.

However, it is pleasing to note the official Pensions Commission which Lord Turner headed has admitted the truth that immigration will *not* help us with our pension problems. In discussing the dependency ratio of pensioners to people in employment over the next 50 years, the report said that with immigration at over 300,000 a year by 2040 this old-age dependency ratio would only come down by five per cent. It added: "But it is important to realise that this would only be a temporary effect ..... since immigrants themselves grow old and become pensioners who need workers to support them."

Although this is plain common sense, it should be noted that the Commission did not even touch the politically incorrect fact that immigrants are a drain, not a gain, to the public purse because of their high welfare dependency, being the major cause of the concreting over of our countryside, and the high levels of crime associated in particular with African, Caribbean, and certain Balkan immigrants.

That immigration is an economic drain and not a gain is borne out by some of the latest figures available from the highly respected MigrationWatch organisation ([www.migrationwatch.com](http://www.migrationwatch.com)).

It states that there are three quarters of a million failed asylum seekers still living in Britain. Despite being here illegally, they and their families remain entitled to live on full benefits and in housing provided by the taxpayers of this country. Their children's education is paid for and they have full access to the NHS. Only one in five failed asylum seekers is ever removed.

It also states that immigration will account for 84% of the projected population growth in future. This growth is *conservatively* estimated to be about 2 million people every 10 years. Furthermore, in the next 10 years one million extra houses will be needed just to house the immigrants.

Yet we still have some money-grubbing business bosses who, mainly for their own financial reasons, contend that more immigration, with all the above economic problems, would somehow solve our pensions crisis!

### Controlling the Migrant Flood Into Europe

Although the BNP is strongly opposed to the EU concept (which has just cost us another £ billion off our rebate) and its controlling commissars, past and present, one must be careful not to automatically reject every proposal it makes. Surely the guideline must always be: "Could this be in Britain's interests?"

An example of this is the proposal to create a Mediterranean naval taskforce to stem the flow of illegal immigrants from Africa into Europe. This was unveiled by Franco Frattini, the EU's justice commissioner, at the end of November. The ships would come from the

European *and* the North African countries bordering the Mediterranean, although Britain has indicated that it might make a contribution. One of the plan's objectives is to create a network of satellite systems that could detect the immigrants' boats leaving African ports. Immigrants who are picked up will be taken to European ports and put through a fast-track asylum procedure. With an unintentional touch of ironic humour the plan says those rejected will be sent back. What will that be, two per cent?

More than 100,000 people a year are thought to enter the EU illegally across the Mediterranean, a fifth of the total number of illegals, with a fair number ending up in Britain (and crossing half-a-dozen countries to do so).

Right, you might say, therefore we should give this particular EU plan our support. If we look at it further, several danger signs light up. Firstly, the European Commission has emphasised that the force will answer to national authorities and will not be under the direct control of Brussels - if that be the case then we could form this naval taskforce by co-operation between national governments of Europe. But officials contradict themselves by saying that EU flags could be worn on uniforms to make the operation distinctive.

Secondly, the EU's new border control agency in Warsaw, known as Frontex, will act as a control centre to monitor satellite images and alert coastguards. Now the downside of that is that it is a further step of taking the control of immigration into Britain, feeble that the 'control' is at present, out of our hands.

Ethno Nationalist European governments of the future would readily co-operate to form an effective naval control force against illegal immigration. The European Union proposal is just an excuse to further their plans for getting EU control over all border security and also to establish a Euro-navy. And they go about it by using the old trick of pretending to employ a solution to a problem, but ensure that it is ineffective, usually by hamstringing it with 'human rights' restrictions, etc.

### Truth In The Ambassador s Jest

A report in the *Sunday Times* of 11<sup>th</sup> December revealed some interesting comments on the working of the European Union made in a black humour e-mail by Charles Crawford, our Ambassador to Poland. He blames our EU partners for farm subsidies that "bloat" rich French landowners and "pump up food prices, thereby creating poverty in Africa". He added that the common agricultural policy (CAP) was "the most stupid, immoral state-subsidised policy in human history, give or take communism".

He said that Britain has created more jobs for Poles in Britain than the Polish government since EU membership was extended to the 10 East European countries 18 months ago (300,000 of their people have come here since then).

In a jibe at David Cameron, Ambassador Crawford said he imagines Blair or Straw saying: "I will be attacked by my scary new teenage Tory opposition for building roads and hospitals in Poland and Hungary, rather than in poor areas of the UK."



# Speakers' Corner

PO Box 97, Newmarket, Suffolk, CB8 1WT, England. E-mail: [identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk](mailto:identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk)

## LETTER OF THE MONTH

### >> Racially Aggravated Crime

The newspapers were recently full of the verdict on the Anthony Walker murder trial, with much discussion of the additional sentences the murderers received by reason of their racial motivation.

This was a vile crime and as in all murders the sentence which the modern law allows is not enough. But consider that element of 'racial motivation'. There is a raft of special 'racially aggravated' offences, and added years can be imposed where there is a racial motivation in ordinary offences. Why is this so? In no other case is 'motivation' an aggravating factor in sentencing. It is merely one of the elements going to prove that the accused was likely to have committed the offence. The truth is that in racially aggravated cases the *additional* sentence is imposed not for the crime itself (presumably just as unpleasant for the victim whatever the motive) but for having incorrect racial attitudes.

Why have our masters contrived this obvious injustice? Because they are blinded to that injustice by their obsessive hatred for any manifestation of racial feeling.

It is almost impossible for people on our side of politics to understand the pathological irrationality of this anti-racism, a diseased understanding very much akin to the witch hunts of former times. Its existence does, though, go far in explaining how perfectly decent and otherwise rational men and women that head the mainstream political parties can depart so far from normal reason and the established rule of law. It also explains why they contrive to carry out ever more blatant frauds and repressions against our party.

J.R.Bell  
Slough

### >> Honour Pension Promises

I find it disappointing that the BNP (according to its website) thinks that public sector workers should not be able to retire before 68.

The fact is that when I took out my pension scheme 15 years ago it was on condition that I expected to retire no later than 65. Like any other contract, I expected that agreement to be honoured and it is a disgrace that the goal posts are to be moved.

The Government is creating a two tier retirement system to divide the workforce, where it would be wrong that one sector will be forced to carry on working until 68.

Labour has pledged £40 billion in aid for Africa. If only half of this was used to boost everybody's pension

fund then we could all probably retire at below 60. As usual, the working man, be it in the public or private sector, is being milked to provide a comfortable life for MPs and the world's scroungers.

Mark Kaye  
e-mail

### >> We Are Not Anti-Europeans

BBC commentators, such as Becky Milligan in Newsnight of 8<sup>th</sup> December, should be told that it is neither accurate nor impartial to describe EU critics as "anti-Europeans". This is a term coined by EU supporters as a calculated smear with the aim of tarring EU critics as being motivated by a xenophobic and

isolationist outlook and designed to side-step what is actually a pragmatic economic and democratic case against unaccountable, supra-national law making.

Why are those who want to see more powers passed to the EU and thus a greater limitation of the democratic rights of ordinary European people bizarrely called "pro-Europeans"? What is "pro-European" about that? True pro-Europeans support democracy and flexible co-operation on our continent not 1950s superstate dogma.

Andrew Edwards  
e-mail

### >> When Race Hate Doesn't Count

Further to the letters and comments in *Identity* on the media's disregard of racist murders of whites, I have tried to draw the media's attention to the case of Terry Gregory, aged 19, murdered in Woolwich, South East London, in December 2003 following a row over an umbrella. Although the alleged murderer was black and the victim white, all concerned were at pains to say that this was NOT a racist crime.

The defendant, George Edwin, said in court that he remembered drawing a knife. However, at this point his memory failed him and he claimed not to have been the person who stabbed Gregory nine times. More disturbing was the fact that while the evidence was damning, the defence made much of the fact that a wreath in the style of a Union Jack (Gregory was a keen sports fan) had been placed at the scene of the crime, the inference being that may be Gregory was a member of some 'extreme race-hate group', thus sowing the seeds of doubt in the minds of the jury and deflecting attention away from his client.

The defence lawyer also mentioned that saint Stephen Lawrence had been murdered not far



away, presumably to give the impression that may be black people seeing themselves as targets of race hate crime in this area were justified in killing white people.

Two separate trials collapsed (not one reported in the national press) when the juries could not reach a verdict (they did not have an opportunity to consider manslaughter due to the vagaries of our justice systems and perhaps an inept judge). The defendant was therefore able to walk free. However, for the Gregorys it is a life sentence; they see their murdered son's name dragged through the mud, the alleged murderer walk free, and the final dagger to the heart is the family have had to ask to be re-housed by the local authority as Mrs Gregory keeps coming face to face with the defendant as she goes about the neighbourhood.

When a black boy is killed by a white, they are invariably described as being class A students with glittering careers ahead of them. In contrast, white victims are, either covertly or overtly, portrayed as being white trash that the community is best off without - particularly if they are given to sporting Union flags or the Cross of St. George.

**Roberta Woods**  
Eltham

**>> The Browning of Britain**

Has this Government got spies around the country to check out which towns and cities are still predominantly white? The reason why I ask is that the city where I live, Chester, was almost completely white five years ago. You could walk around all day and not see more than one Black or Asian. How things have changed!

One day last month in my local supermarket I was surrounded by Muslims or Africans. Strangely enough, the immigrants were the only folk who were smiling and laughing, while the indigenous folk looked worried and harassed.

This little example, now being repeated all over Britain, indicates that the Government does not want a single area of Britain to remain non-multi-ethnic. I was very proud of

Chester, with its historic buildings and friendly people, but recently everything has gone rather sour indeed.

**Dale Miles**  
Chester

**>> Bring Back Counties Regiments**

Midland army veterans have reacted with outrage to moves which could see the Light Infantry name being ditched. Under a shake-up which will see the Army creating larger regiments, the expanded new regiment incorporating the Light Infantry may simply be called The Rifles.

This proposal has angered veterans of the King's Shropshire Light Infantry and its successor the Light Infantry.

"If you cut the last slender threads linking to the counties, all the recruiting and the historical attachments from those counties go for a ball of chalk", said Maurice Halliday, Chairman of the Telford branch of the Light Infantry Association.

I trust that the BNP stands by its pledge to bring back the counties regiments, a system that has served Britain well over the centuries.

**Patrick O'Sullivan**  
(Irish Guards, Retd), Birmingham

**>> No 'Melting Pot' Europe**

Whilst I recognise that the BNP remains dedicated to preserving Britain's national sovereignty (too true! - *Editor*) I find it encouraging that it now recognises that, although rejecting the false Europe of the EU, the nationalist parties of Europe are in a common struggle to preserve our culture and our people.

"We propose that Europe must be a very positive Europe of the nations insofar that every component retains its regional customs, traditions and peculiar ways of life. What we do not want is a "melting pot", that mish-mash of cultures that only destroys without creating anything worthwhile in its place. Just look at America the worst example."

The above comes from a new bi-

monthly newssheet, *European Action*, which *Identity* readers may be interested in.

**Roger Clare**  
PO Box 415, Ramsgate, CT11 9WW

**>> Religious Hatred Law An Abomination**

I consider the proposed law against religious hatreds as an abomination because of its vagueness and consequent openness to abuse by the authorities as a tool of repression.

I also condemn the existing law against incitement to racial hatred. This is, I suggest, like the Religious Hatred Bill itself, directed against what George Orwell called 'thought crime'. For what is hatred, after all: simply dislike, or ill-will? Therefore, if dislike and ill-will in themselves are not punishable, why should their incitement be a criminal offence? We already have laws which can be used to punish real crimes, such as incitement to murder, but since "sticks and stones may break my bones, but words can never hurt me", why should anyone be punished for inciting a feeling of dislike or hatred, which is non-specific and does not entail any particular concrete action.

Nick Griffin, the Chairman of the British National Party, and a man of honour and integrity, is facing a charge of incitement to racial hatred, a classic case of a politically motivated prosecution. Under the law which created this offence, enacted in the late 1960s, and but little used since then, the truth is no defence.

The 1968 Race Relations Act then, like our entry into the Common Market in 1973, was a ticking time bomb. Few people had an inkling of their full implications till twenty or thirty years had passed.


A law that seeks to make a window into men's souls, to prevent 'thoughtcrime' and to punish men and women for thoughts, feelings and beliefs is an unjust law. The Protestant martyrs - Latimer and Ridley, to name but two - would have understood this only too well. As Martin Luther famously said almost five hundred years ago: "Here I stand, I can do no other".

**Dr A.Emerson**  
West Sussex





# The New European Intifada



A fireman extinguishes a blazing truck in Cenon, near Bordeaux, southwestern France

» This report is an abridgement of an article by Lee Barnes, LLB Hons, that appeared in Lee's 'Brimstone' column on the BNP website ([www.bnp.org.uk](http://www.bnp.org.uk)) on November 15<sup>th</sup>. It was in response to the wave of violence launched that month by young Muslims in France, and to a lesser extent in Denmark and Belgium. It has not lost its topicality, because it will be repeated sooner or later

» As Lorenzo Vidino wrote recently in *The Muslim Brotherhood's Conquest of Europe* "Europe has become an incubator for Islamist thought and political development". Since the early 1960s, Muslim Brotherhood members and sympathisers have moved to Europe and slowly but steadily established a wide and well-organised network of mosques, charities, and Islamic organisations. Unlike the larger Islamic community, the Muslim Brotherhood's ultimate goal may not be simply "to help Muslims be the best citizens they can be," but rather to extend Islamic law throughout Europe and the United States.

Four decades of teaching and cultivation have paid off. The student refugees who migrated from the Middle East forty years ago and their descendants now lead organisations that represent the local Muslim communities in their engagement with Europe's political elite. Funded by generous contributors from the Persian Gulf, they preside over a centralized network that spans nearly every European country.

These organisations represent

themselves as mainstream, even as they continue to embrace the Brotherhood's radical views and maintain links to terrorists. With moderate rhetoric and well-spoken German, Dutch, French and English they have gained acceptance among European governments and media alike. Politicians across the political spectrum rush to engage them whenever an issue involving Muslims arises or, more parochially, when they seek the vote of the burgeoning Muslim community.

## PREACHING HATE

But, speaking their own languages before their fellows Muslims, they drop their facade and embrace radicalism. While their representatives speak about interfaith dialogue and integration on television, their mosques preach hate and warn worshippers about the evils of Western society. While they publicly condemn the murder of commuters in Madrid and school children in Russia, they continue to raise money for Hamas and other terrorist organisations.

Europeans, eager to create a dialogue with their increasingly disaffected Muslim minority, overlook this duplicity. On February 20, 2004, DEBKA-Net-Weekly and DEBKAFire revealed that the whole of Western Europe had been penetrated by Al Qaeda terrorist cells preparing to unleash the European Intifada as part of the Global Jihad to establish the European Caliphate and Dar-Al-Islam.

In the article it states that, "According to French counter-intelligence, Al Qaeda has recruited in France alone between 35,000 and 45,000 men and is organizing them into military-style units. They meet regularly for training in the use of weapons and explosives, combat tactics and indoctrination and are controlled from local and district command centres under the organisation's national French command.

In Germany, Al Qaeda has recruited 25,000 to 30,000 men. The British domestic intelligence agency MI5 estimates 10,000 faithful have joined up in Britain, providing Blunkett with more than ample cause for concern".



If you watched the media in both the UK and France then one would assume that the riots in France were solely an outbreak of communal youthful autumnal exuberance, similar to a rave but with petrol bombs instead of phat tunes shattering the calm and tranquillity of French streets. No doubt some French social worker or pet intellectual of the French Establishment is already preparing a thesis on how the 'racist' French state that allowed the rioting scum to enter their country, take their homes, receive their welfare cheques and build their mosques and terror networks are really responsible for the violence and murder of 61 year old Jean-Jacques Le Chenadec who was beaten to death by a mob of immigrant Muslim teenagers.

### MUSHROOM TREATMENT

In the world of the media the fact that all of those 'youths' who were shooting police officers, murdering old white men and setting alight disabled white women were all Black Muslims, Arab Muslims and other immigrant Muslims could not of course be mentioned. Just like the way the British public are patronised by the British media, then the French public must also be given what is universally called the 'Mushroom Treatment' by the French Media and kept in the dark and fed on bullshit not facts. For if the truth came out about the riots then the native French people might realise that they have been duped, deluded and deceived by their political masters and the media for decades, and then they may begin to vote for the Front

National who have not lied to them for the last forty years.

The riots were in fact the first shots to be fired in the European Intifada as part of the Global Jihad to establish the European Caliphate and Dar-Al-Islam. The riots are racist pogroms and outbreaks of Religious Cleansing to clear French whites/Christians from the inner city areas occupied by immigrant Muslim terrorists and their immigrant/white leftists sympathisers and to create autonomous immigrant-only Muslim zones where the writ of the French state and secular law no longer runs, but where Sharia Law and the Imam are the sovereign power on the streets.

This is easy to prove. The targets in these riots were not random, but deliberate and targeted. They were spread and organised as a show of strength against the French State. The methodology is both clear and far thinking. The fact that Primary Schools were burnt down by the rioters is due to the fact that the Muslim terrorist leaders in French communities have realised that in order to educate future generations of terrorists to overthrow the French state they need to remove Muslim children from the State education system that preaches a code of secularism and tolerance. The children that would have been educated in those primary schools will now be taught in the Mosques and the secret network of madrasses that exist in France will teach them their ideology of jihad instead. That way a generation of terrorists can be inculcated with the ideology of Intifada terror, trained in terrorism techniques and then sent out to fight for the European Caliphate

when the time is ready.

Other targets were hit in order to remove Whites from those areas entirely. The burning down of White run and owned businesses and factories in inner city areas, that were also staffed by Whites, means those businesses will no longer operate in those areas in future. This will encourage the remaining whites in those areas to flee and thereby allowing in more Muslims to take over those abandoned homes, thus strengthening the power of the Muslim terrorists in those areas.

### WAR AGAINST THE WEST

The July 7<sup>th</sup> terrorist attacks in London and the failed suicide bombings two weeks later, the French riots and the riots across Britain in 2000 are all part of a de-centralised but strategic, ideologically and theologically driven war against the West. The terrorists have no central chain of command (nor do they need one) but instead operate by a unified methodology of terror by using the same techniques of terrorism, they share the same means in relation to how they have entered our country via immigration and they co-ordinate the war for Global Jihad by using the internet, the media and sympathetic individuals in mosques.

These Wahhabist fanatics are foaming at the mouth with hatred for West, yet their greatest defenders are the white liberals. Whilst the terrorists are preparing to wage war against us all, the white liberal elite traitors and the pathetic white Establishment are preparing to surrender us to them without a shot being fired back in our defence. The day will come when those White traitors and liberals that acted as the apologists for the European Intifada and deaths of innocent whites will face justice for their crimes against our people and civilisation.

The BNP is the last bastion of the defence of Western Civilisation and British culture in this country. Whilst the liberal filth that run this country prostrate themselves like dogs before any ethnic who mutters the magic word 'racism', only the BNP dare to stand against both them and the racist/religious terrorists that have infiltrated and are poisoning our nation.

If these riots had broken out in Britain, and the BNP were in charge of the country at that time, then I would

**The wreckage of a car used by rioters to break through the glass door of a McDonald fast food restaurant lies amid the rubble of the restaurant in Corbeil-Essonnes**







have asked the Party to pass the following preventive measures:

1) A curfew would be imposed and all those immigrants or descendants of immigrants arrested in any rioting would be deported immediately to their ancestral homelands (as defined by their or their parents'/grandparents' nationality) and their British passports revoked.

2) The police would have been instructed to liaise with the National and Border Security Police Force that we intend to set up and to use non-deadly force including rubber bullets, night time curfews, tear gas, snatch squads and preventive arrest (based on intelligence) against the rioters. Those that fired guns against the police in riots would be liable to be shot dead by trained police marksmen operating in those units.

3) Local home owners/business owners would have been authorised to use force to defend their homes and property and no criminal charges would be laid against them in relation to any rioters killed in the execution of any attacks on their home or property.

4) All religious institutions and individuals linked with those institutions suspected of involvement in organising or supporting the riots would be shut down and the leaders of those establishments deported.

5) The media would be barred from areas where riots were under way, as the media in France were used to spread details of how the rioters were operating (thereby encouraging copy cat incidents elsewhere). The media in France have not been reporting objective facts about the riots (the same as the British media did not in relation to the riots in Britain in 2000) such as their ethnic identities, real causes of the riots and their religion and instead have been acting as apologists for the rioters and therefore the rioters and the media are all part of a synergistic process of violence/apologist statements and proliferation. The media are the mechanism whereby riots spread across countries and therefore the media will be required to file their reports via a State Information System that provides them with information rather than make up



**French Interior Minister Nicolas Sarkozy, right, speaks with a riot police officer during a meeting with police in Bobigny**

lies to support their own political agendas.

The head of one of France's major news services has even admitted censoring coverage of the French riots that would have revealed the ethnic makeup of the rioters because he feared the Front National would benefit politically from more comprehensive coverage.

#### **MEDIA COVER-UP**

Jean-Claude Dassier, chief executive of news channel LCI, has also complained that other broadcasters' coverage of the unrest had been "excessive" and may even have encouraged rioters. "Politics in France is heading to the right and I don't want rightwing politicians back in second, or even first place because we showed burning cars on television", he told a conference in Amsterdam.

The idea that a single, unelected, media hack can dictate what information the entire French people and French nation receive about the riots shows the criminal power of the media. He continues saying that "Journalism is not simply a matter of switching on the cameras and letting them roll. You have to think about what you're broadcasting", he added. In other words, unless what you show on the media supports the current political elite and their failed ideological solutions then it should not be shown even if the truth has to be hidden and the lie become the public reality.

The media are utterly unaccount-

able to any one other than their owners, part of the democratic deficit in modern societies. Only when the media are forced only to tell the truth about what is happening, and not manipulate the news in order to get public support for the parties that they 'sponsor' and advertise in their papers, will we live in a true democracy.

For media journalists like Dassier, denying French citizens news footage and facts about what's happening in their own country isn't enough: No-Pasaran reports that more than a week ago, LCI and its parent company TF1 suspended the comments sections on their news reports internet sites as the comments were not 'liberal' enough for the media owners to allow on their site. Comments supporting a tough law-and-order line against the rioters outnumbered messages from those sympathising or calling for understanding ten to one. Therefore this support for non media anointed policies meant those comments had to be censored to ensure the social temperature stayed the same. Multi-Cultural tolerance and liberalism are not derived from human nature, but only via the false social consensus created by the media.

It is time now for the West and all democrats to face down the growing Islamic extremist monster in our midst. The liberal elite and their policies have failed. The same white criminals that allowed them into our nations are now appeasing the same terrorists they imported into our nations when they terrorise us.



Please make all cheques payable to "Excalibur" and send to PO Box 116, Leeds, LS27 9WW. When ordering please clearly specify which item you require and the size.

## Celtic Tankard



**£23 (inc. P&p)**

This beautiful 13cm high resin celtic tankard has embossed detail on all sides and an ornately decorated handle. Inside the tankard sits a removable metal insert that makes this object not only a great ornament but a fully functioning tankard.

## Viking Bust 2



**£14 (inc. P&p)**

Made from hollow cast resin.  
Height: 17cm approx.

## Celtic Bedspreads/Wall Hangings



Bedspreads 1, 4 & 5 (single) are Size: 135 x 220cm. £14 (inc. p&p)  
Bedspreads 6 - 15 (double) Size 215 x 255 cm. £22 (inc. p&p)





# "The Truth Shall Set You Free"

Not in Blair's Britain it won't! Nick Griffin and Mark Collett stand trial in Leeds Crown Court this month under a perverse 'law' that states that "the truth is no defence". This disgraceful piece of tyranny was made law in 1986, under the last Conservative government. To date not a single Tory MP has condemned the way in which the New Labour controlled Crown Prosecution Service is trying to use it to jail the leader of a perfectly legal opposition party.

The plain truth is that the entire Establishment, including the institutionally anti-British BBC, is united in backing this 'legal' assault - an assault not just on the rights of members of the British National Party, but on the ancient freedoms of every single British subject. It doesn't matter what views you hold or what party you support, this attack on the right to speak the truth, and to organise politically to right a perceived wrong, is an attack on you.



Yes, I want to help Nick and Mark hold the front line of freedom.

☐ £10

☐ £25

☐ £1000

☐ £50

☐ £100

☐ Other: .....

Name: .....

Address: .....

Please send to: The Secretary, PO Box 907, Hull, HU6 9WY

Please send an SAE if you require an acknowledgement for sums of £25 or less

## Subscribe To Identity

The following rates are for 6 or 12 issues respectively (please tick box as applicable):

British Isles £15 ☐ £30 ☐

Overseas surface mail £16.50 ☐ £33 ☐

Unsealed air mail Europe £17.50 ☐ £35 ☐

Unsealed air mail Middle East, North and South America £24 ☐ £48 ☐

Unsealed air mail Australasia, Far East £25 ☐ £50 ☐

**Please Note:** These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If you are paying in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Name

Address

Postcode

Telephone

E-mail

All cheques etc should be made payable to 'British National Party' or 'British Heritage' and sent to PO Box 87, Ossett, Wakefield, WF5 8WN. You can subscribe online at [www.bnp.org.uk](http://www.bnp.org.uk). Photocopies can be used.

### Identity Bulk Order Rates

5 - £9.25; 10 - £15; 15 - £20; 20 - £24.50; 25 - £29; 30 - £34; 40 - £43.50; 50 - £52.50; 60 - £62.50; 70 - £71.50; 80 - £81; 90 - £90.50; 100 - £100

Postage - £1.05 for 5; £1.94 for 10; £4.05 for 15 - 20; £4.34 for 25 - 30; £5.19 for 40 - 50